Message

From: Joe Fanjoy [Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com]

Sent: 11/12/2020 4:43:22 PM

To: Calli, Rosemary [Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov]; Laycock, Kelly [Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov]; Mcgill, Thomas

[Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov]; Kupchan, Simma [Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov]

CC: Laura Bachle [Laura.Bachle@erg.com]; Joe Fanjoy [Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com]

Subject: RE: Number of excerpts by comment code--0431 Tribal letter

Attachments: EPA-HQ-OW-2018-0640-0431-A2.pdf

Hello Rosemary and Kelly, we are downloading 29 new DCNs this morning.

I'm screening these for "major" comments. Here is a 7-pager from the firm representing Seminole Tribe of Florida. Just a "heads up" since you identified Tribal issues as one of the priorities.

Thanks, Joe



From: Calli, Rosemary < Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2020 5:19 PM

To: Joe Fanjoy <Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com>; Laycock, Kelly <Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov>; Mcgill, Thomas

<Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov>; Kupchan, Simma <Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov>
Cc: Laura Bachle <Laura.Bachle@erg.com>; Joe Fanjoy <Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com>

Subject: RE: Number of excerpts by comment code

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi, Joe – Kelly is done for the day (and it's a federal holiday tomorrow). These look good, and let's go with public participation rather than NEPA for Batch 2 if it's an either/or question.

From: Joe Fanjoy < Joe Fanjoy@erg.com Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2020 4:42 PM

To: Laycock, Kelly <<u>Laycock, Kelly@epa.gov</u>>; Calli, Rosemary <<u>Calli,Rosemary@epa.gov</u>>; Mcgill, Thomas

<<u>Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov</u>>; Kupchan, Simma <<u>Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: Laura Bachle < Laura.Bachle@erg.com >; Joe Fanjoy < Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com >

Subject: RE: Number of excerpts by comment code

Kelly, how about the following batches?

Batch 1:

- Series 10 ESA 10, 10b, 10c
- 1g Funding and staffing
- 20 Conflict of interest?

Batch 2:

- 1h Streamlining
- 8 NHPA; 7, 8d, 8e tribal issues
- NEPA? Or public participation?

Thanks for the update on the remaining docket processing. The pending comments would of course have to be downloaded, coded, QA'd, and put into our system. Then added to any summaries that may be in progress.



From: Laycock, Kelly <<u>Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov</u>>
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2020 2:42 PM

To: Joe Fanjoy <<u>Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com</u>>; Calli, Rosemary <<u>Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov</u>>; Mcgill, Thomas

<Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov; Kupchan, Simma Kupchan, Simma@epa.gov>; Cc: Laura Bachle Laura Bachle <a href="mailto:Laura.Bachle

Subject: RE: Number of excerpts by comment code

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Joe,

I believe we want to prioritize ESA comments and Funding/staffing comments first. After that, using your judgement, generally going from most comments to least (removing general approve/deny) seems to make the most sense. Also maybe doing things that might have similar snippets concurrently such as NHPA and historic, tribal issues, etc.

Kelly Laycock
Wetlands Regulatory Section
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
61 Forsyth St.
Atlanta GA, 30303
phone 404 562 9132

From: Joe Fanjoy < <u>Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com</u>>
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2020 10:31 AM

To: Laycock, Kelly < Laycock. Kelly@epa.gov>; Calli, Rosemary < Calli. Rosemary@epa.gov>; Mcgill, Thomas

<<u>Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov</u>>; Kupchan, Simma <<u>Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: Laura Bachle <<u>Laura.Bachle@erg.com</u>>; Joe Fanjoy <<u>Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com</u>>

Subject: Number of excerpts by comment code

Kelly, per your request, attached is spreadsheet that lists the number of excerpts by comment code, pulled directly from our comment system. This captures the <u>count</u> of excerpts.

Qualifiers:

- 90% QA'd We are still QA'ing the final round of DCNs; excerpts can move codes in response to QA
- Includes:
 - DCNs in favor sent earlier today
 - Earthjustice DCN 0051 Request for extension
- Does not include:
 - Earthjustice DCN 0386, which contains 55 pages of substantive comments, including unique comments on emergency permitting, takings, and access to courts (ERG sent a copy as a major comment)
 - Defenders of Wildlife DCN 0346, which contains 19 pages of substantive comments, including ESA, ESA Section 7, ESA no-jeopardy, FDEP funding/resources, enforcement, programmatic agreements, and public participation (ERG sent a copy as a major comment)

- o Public hearing 10/27/2020
- ERG is in the process of adding the 0386, 0346, and 10/27/20 public hearing excerpts to our system.

Based this information, do you want to prioritize several Codes we should begin the summary steps of sorting, outlining, and summarizing?

Then, I could propose a schedule for those.

